



# JUTLP

Journal of University Teaching & Learning Practice

1

## 2 **How would future mathematicians embrace digital literacy? A TAM** 3 **model-based analysis**

4 Dr Zhenyu Sun<sup>a</sup>, Associate Professor Ruixue Yuan<sup>b</sup>, and Professor Xuezhi Liu<sup>b</sup>

5 <sup>a</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, China; <sup>b</sup> Beijing University of Chemical Technology, China

### 6 **Abstract**

7 The widespread incorporation of digital technologies in higher  
8 education requires a thorough understanding of how these tools are  
9 embraced and applied, especially in the field of mathematics. This  
10 study employs the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) to  
11 examine the adoption of digital tools in university-level mathematics  
12 education, focusing on their model-related constructs. Through a  
13 detailed analysis of innovative higher educational initiatives like the  
14 PAL course series at Carnegie Mellon University and the Xena  
15 Project at Imperial College London, our findings indicate that digital  
16 literacy significantly enhances conceptual understanding, facilitates  
17 advanced research, and improves problem-solving capabilities  
18 among students majoring mathematics. The empirical evidence  
19 strongly supports the predictive power of the TAM in this  
20 educational context, suggesting that increasing the perceived ease  
21 of use and usefulness of digital tools can substantially improve their acceptance. These insights  
22 underscore the critical role of digital literacy in reshaping educational landscapes and highlight  
23 the necessity for educational policies to encourage the development and integration of effective  
24 digital tools in mathematical education, thereby preparing future mathematicians for a digitally  
25 integrated academic and professional environment.

#### **Editors**

Section: Special Issue  
Senior Editor: Dr Cassandra Colvin  
Guest Editor: Dr Michael O'Dea

#### **Publication**

Submission: 30 December 2024  
Accepted: 14 August 2024  
Published Online: 20 September 2024

#### **Copyright**

© by the authors, in its year of first publication. This publication is an open access publication under the Creative Commons Attribution [CC BY-ND 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/) license.

### 26 **Keywords**

27 Higher Mathematics Education, Technology Acceptance Model, Digital Literacy, Formal  
28 Mathematics, Proof Assistant

### 29 **Citation**

30 Sun, Z., Yuan R., & Liu X. (2024). How would future mathematicians embrace digital literacy? A TAM model-based analysis. *Journal*  
31 *of University Teaching and Learning Practice, Advanced Online Publication* <https://doi.org/10.53761/x1m1ja93> .

32

## Practitioner Notes

- 33 1. Educators should consider integrating digital literacy into their curricula to facilitate deeper  
34 conceptual understanding and more effective problem-solving skills among students.
- 35 2. Universities and educational technology developers should focus on creating user-friendly  
36 digital resources that clearly demonstrate their practical benefits in educational settings.
- 37 3. Incorporating digital tools like theorem provers (interactive or automated) into mathematics  
38 courses requires thoughtful curriculum design. This integration should aim to gradually  
39 introduce students to complex tools through structured activities that build on existing  
40 knowledge and skills.
- 41 4. Effective use of digital tools in mathematics education necessitates ongoing professional  
42 development for educators. Training programs should focus on both the technological  
43 aspects of these tools and pedagogical strategies for their implementation.
- 44 5. The advancement of digital tools in mathematics education benefits from active research and  
45 collaborative efforts among academics. Institutions should encourage participation in projects  
46 that explore and expand the use of such technologies, similar to the PAL courses and the  
47 Xena Project.

48

## Introduction

49 The landscape of mathematical education is undergoing a profound transformation, precipitated  
50 by the rapid advancement of digital technologies. As digital tools become increasingly pervasive  
51 across various facets of life, their integration into educational frameworks has become a pivotal  
52 focus of contemporary educational reform. We explore the critical role of digital literacy within  
53 university-level mathematics education, a topic of paramount relevance given the challenges and  
54 opportunities presented by the digital era.

55 Traditionally, mathematics has been taught through methods that emphasize theoretical  
56 understanding and manual problem-solving skills. However, the swift evolution of technology  
57 necessitates a paradigm shift towards the incorporation of digital tools that enhance learning  
58 outcomes and comprehension. This transition represents not merely a trend but a fundamental  
59 shift in achieving educational goals in higher mathematics. The integration of platforms such as  
60 mathematical software and online resources into the learning environment promises to redefine  
61 the acquisition and application of mathematical knowledge.

62 Despite the clear benefits, the adoption of digital tools in mathematics education often encounters  
63 resistance due to a prevailing lack of understanding and acceptance among educators and  
64 institutions. This resistance, often rooted in a traditional mindset, undervalues the potential of  
65 technology-enhanced learning. While certain studies highlight the benefits of digital literacy in  
66 enhancing educational outcomes (Anuratha, 2020), there is a significant gap in systematically  
67 understanding how these tools can be effectively integrated into tertiary mathematics education.

68 To bridge this critical gap, our study employs the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM),  
69 developed by Fred D. Davis (Davis, 1989), which provides a robust framework for understanding  
70 the factors that influence the acceptance of new technologies. Moreover, we integrate Actor-  
71 Network Theory (ANT) (Latour, 2005) to provide a more comprehensive analysis of the complex

72 interrelations among various actors involved in the adoption of digital tools in university-level and  
73 more advanced mathematics education.

74 This research aims to address two main issues: firstly, to identify the key determinants influencing  
75 educators' and students' acceptance and use of digital tools in university-level mathematics  
76 education; and secondly, to evaluate the impact of these tools on educational practices and  
77 learning outcomes. Through a mixed-methods approach that combines text interpretation of TAM  
78 constructs and qualitative case studies enhanced by ANT insights, this study endeavors to  
79 provide a theoretical and empirical foundation for strategies that facilitate the effective integration  
80 of digital tools into mathematical education, thus enhancing both teaching quality and student  
81 learning experiences.

82 Our study aims to pave the way for further investigation into how digital literacy can profoundly  
83 transform educational practices. It underscores the need for educational policies that promote the  
84 development and integration of effective digital tools in mathematical education. Such initiatives  
85 are essential for equipping future mathematicians with the skills necessary to thrive in a digitally  
86 integrated academic and professional landscape.

## 87 **Literature**

### 88 **Role and Acceptance of Digital Tools in Education**

89 Digital tools extend beyond simplifying calculations to transform pedagogical strategies,  
90 enhancing interactive learning, and promoting collaboration among students (Sinclair &  
91 Yerushalmy, 2016). These tools foster a more active and engaging learning environment, allowing  
92 for deeper exploration of mathematical concepts. The effectiveness of these tools in fostering  
93 educational outcomes is further supported by research examining the perceptions of technology  
94 use in mathematics by university students (Zogheib et al., 2015).

95 However, not all researchers agree on the positive impact of digital literacy in education. For  
96 instance, authors explore the application of artificial intelligence in teaching and learning in higher  
97 education, noting that despite the rapid development of AI technology, there is currently  
98 insufficient evidence to support its practical effectiveness in teaching (O'Dea & O'Dea, 2023).

### 99 **Recent Technological Advancements in Mathematics Education**

100 The integration of digital tools in mathematics education signifies a major paradigm shift,  
101 transitioning from traditional pedagogical methods to innovative digital methodologies. One of the  
102 most notable advancements is the foundational framework of homotopy type theory (HoTT),  
103 which merges mathematical reasoning with programming language elements, exemplifying this  
104 transformation (Voevodsky, 2006; Awodey, 2014). Because this new foundation is heavily reliant  
105 on computer science and technology, some mathematics educators are now working on  
106 developing innovative university-level educational environments based on it (Bezem et al., 2022).

### 107 **Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) in Educational Settings**

108 The Technology Acceptance Model provides a robust framework for understanding how  
109 perceptions of usefulness and ease of use influence the adoption of technology in educational  
110 settings (Davis, 1989). This model has been adapted to explore various educational levels and

111 settings, such as the acceptance of digital mathematics games by elementary teachers,  
112 highlighting the importance of perceived usefulness and ease of use (Yeo, Rutherford, &  
113 Campbell, 2022). Additionally, the influence of teachers' digital competence on their acceptance  
114 of technology is emphasized in vocational education contexts (Antonietti, Cattaneo, & Amenduni,  
115 2022).

116 The ongoing development of TAM in educational technology research includes conceptualization  
117 and extension studies that provide a deeper understanding of the factors influencing technology  
118 integration. Researchers use a grounded theory approach to expand on TAM findings within  
119 secondary-school mathematics education (Ince-Muslu & Erduran, 2021), while others examine  
120 the antecedents of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) adoption across  
121 educational settings, utilizing an extended TAM (Teeroovengadam, Heeraman, & Jugurnath,  
122 2017).

### 123 **Empirical Evidence Supporting Digital Tool Integration**

124 Empirical studies provide valuable insights into the practical implications of integrating digital tools  
125 in educational settings. For instance, the impact of ICT on mathematics teachers' acceptance of  
126 technology showcases key factors affecting their usage (Soydaş, 2023). Moreover, the  
127 assessment of self-efficacy among Chinese primary mathematics teachers using digital tools  
128 highlights significant effects on student learning outcomes and teacher efficacy (Li, 2024).  
129 Extensive research also measures the acceptance of digital tools in open and distance learning  
130 environments, identifying critical factors that influence their acceptance and use (Noh et al., 2023).

## 131 **Method**

### 132 **Study Design and Theoretical Framework**

133 This research employs a text-based case study methodology to explore the integration of digital  
134 tools in university-level mathematics education, focusing specifically on the Pure and Applied  
135 Logic (PAL) courses at Carnegie Mellon University and the Xena Project at Imperial College  
136 London.

137 The choice of a text-based case study is appropriate for in-depth exploration within real-life  
138 contexts where the phenomenon and context are intricately linked; while the the rarity of samples  
139 in the worldwide environment, the inaccessibility of direct classroom observations or experiments,  
140 and the diversity of text types also served as important considerations.

141 The theoretical foundation of the study is based on the Technology Acceptance Model, which is  
142 utilized to examine how perceived usefulness (PU), perceived ease of use (PEOU) and other  
143 model constructs influence the acceptance and integration of technology by educators and  
144 students.

### 145 **TAM's Evolution and Its Relevance to Mathematics Education**

146 TAM has evolved through integrations with other behavioral theories, enhancing its applicability  
147 to diverse contexts. Notably, the integration of TAM with the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB)  
148 and the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) has deepened insights  
149 into user behavior in technology adoption. Modifications such as incorporating factors like social

150 influence, system design, and user experience have broadened TAM's scope, making it highly  
151 relevant for analyzing digital tools in educational settings.

152 In this study, TAM provides a structured framework to assess:

- 153 ● Transition to Digital Platforms: How digital tools are perceived in terms of their utility and ease  
154 of integration into existing teaching practices.
- 155 ● Complexity in Mathematics Education: The capability of digital tools to simplify or make  
156 accessible complex mathematical concepts without compromising educational integrity.
- 157 ● Pedagogical Innovations: The impact of digital transformation on teaching methodologies and  
158 curriculum development.

### 159 **Actor-Network Theory (ANT) Application**

160 In addition to the Technology Acceptance Model, our study incorporates the Actor-Network  
161 Theory to provide a comprehensive framework for analyzing the complex interrelations between  
162 various actors involved in the adoption of digital tools in university-level mathematics education.  
163 ANT is used in this research to examine the roles and influences of human and non-human  
164 entities that collectively affect the integration and utilization of digital technologies.

165 More concretely, ANT is applied to identify and map the network of relationships that influence  
166 the acceptance and use of digital tools. This includes the interactions between students,  
167 educators, technological tools (like the Lean theorem prover), and institutional policies. By  
168 analyzing these interactions, ANT helps to uncover how various actors contribute to the  
169 stabilization and acceptance of digital tools within educational practices.

170 To ensure a holistic understanding of technology acceptance, ANT is integrated with TAM to  
171 explore not only the perceptions of usefulness and ease of use as influenced by individual actors  
172 but also how these perceptions are shaped through the network of interactions. This integrated  
173 approach allows for a deeper understanding of the socio-technical dynamics that influence  
174 technology adoption in educational settings.

### 175 **Data Sources**

176 The study leverages publicly available data from multiple sources:

- 177 ● Blogs and lectures: Blog entries from leaders and core practitioners of the relevant  
178 educational project, as well as slides from public lectures, are freely accessible from the  
179 project's homepage.
- 180 ● Online Community Discussions: Analysis of Zulip chat platform discussions where the usage,  
181 benefits, and challenges of digital tools like Lean are debated.
- 182 ● Publicly Available Resources: Examination of materials from conferences and open  
183 educational resources that discuss the adoption and impact of digital tools in mathematics  
184 education.

## 185 **Ethical Considerations**

186 All research data comes from publicly available sources, including academic publications, blogs,  
187 lectures, and openly shared educational evaluations. Most crucially, the open-source nature of  
188 the HoTT project and Lean software and their communities discussions further align with the  
189 study's ethical commitment to using public domain information without infringing on private data.

## 190 **Results**

191 This section aims to synthesize the results from two distinct case studies at Carnegie Mellon  
192 University (CMU) and Imperial College London (ICL) concerning the integration of digital tools in  
193 mathematics education. By merging the analysis of these case studies with a direct comparison,  
194 we intend to highlight the key similarities and differences in digital tool adoption and their impacts.  
195 This unified approach enables a deeper understanding of how contextual factors at each  
196 institution influence the effectiveness and acceptance of technology in educational settings.

197 The CMU case study explores the use of the Lean theorem prover to enhance the teaching of  
198 formal logic and proof techniques in mathematics courses, emphasizing the practical application  
199 of advanced digital tools. In contrast, the ICL case study focuses on the Xena Project, which  
200 integrates similar digital tools to foster interactive learning and engagement in actual research-  
201 level mathematics. Both initiatives are evaluated through the lens of the Technology Acceptance  
202 Model, providing insights into their usability and practical benefits. This comparison not only  
203 reveals the unique strategies and outcomes at each institution but also contributes to the broader  
204 discourse on digital literacy in higher education.

## 205 **Detailed Case Analysis**

### 206 ***CMU Case Study***

207 The research primarily draws upon records of public lectures given by professor Jeremy Avigad,  
208 the PAL course project leader, on various occasions (Avigad, 2021a; 2021b; 2022; 2024).

#### 209 *Context and Implementation*

210 At Carnegie Mellon University, the integration of digital tools into logic and mathematics education  
211 primarily revolves around the Lean theorem prover, which has been implemented as a central  
212 component of the curriculum in several courses ranging from undergraduate to advanced  
213 graduate levels. This implementation is part of a broader initiative to enhance the analytical  
214 capabilities of students and to provide them with hands-on experience in formalized mathematics  
215 and automated proof verification.

216 The use of Lean at CMU is situated within the Department of Philosophy and the Department of  
217 Mathematical Sciences, both have a longstanding tradition of incorporating computational  
218 elements into its curriculum. The adoption of Lean is driven by the departments' goal to fuse  
219 traditional mathematical teaching methods with modern computational tools, thereby preparing  
220 students for the increasingly digital nature of mathematical research and application. This  
221 strategic integration also addresses the growing demand for graduates who are proficient in both  
222 theoretical and applied aspects of mathematics.

223 Lean has been incorporated into various courses, including:

- 224 ● Introduction to Theoretical Mathematics: where students first encounter formal proof  
225 techniques. Lean is used to introduce students to the rigor of mathematical proofs, allowing  
226 them to verify their proofs interactively.
- 227 ● Advanced Logic and Set Theory: which uses Lean extensively to explore complex logical  
228 frameworks and set theoretical concepts. Students engage with Lean to construct and verify  
229 proofs that are otherwise too intricate to handle without computational assistance.
- 230 ● Research Projects and Thesis Work: where graduate students utilize Lean to formalize and  
231 validate their research findings, often in collaboration with their supervisors, who are also  
232 proficient in using the tool.

233 Specific examples of Lean's concrete application include:

- 234 ● Formalizing Proofs of Classical Theorems: Students are tasked with translating proofs of well-  
235 known mathematical theorems into Lean's formal language, enhancing their understanding  
236 of both the proofs themselves and the process of formalization.
- 237 ● Interactive Proof Competitions: Organized within the department, these competitions  
238 challenge students to solve complex mathematical problems using Lean, promoting a deeper  
239 engagement with the tool and fostering a competitive yet collaborative learning environment.

240 The scope of tool usage extends beyond classroom settings, with many faculty members adopting  
241 Lean for collaborative research projects. This not only enhances the research output of the  
242 departments by ensuring the correctness of proofs but also creates a vibrant academic community  
243 centered around digital tool proficiency and innovation in mathematics. Through these  
244 implementations, CMU has established a robust model of integrating digital tools into  
245 mathematics education, setting a benchmark for similar initiatives in other institutions.

246 *TAM analysis*

247 **Table 1**

248 *TAM ANALYSIS OF THE CMU CASE*

Model Construct	Analysis
External Variables	External variables such as community support and resource availability played crucial roles in shaping the acceptance and usage of Lean at CMU. The strong backing from the Lean community, including access to a broad range of libraries and collaborative projects, enhanced the resource environment for both students and instructors. This support system not only eased the integration of Lean into the curriculum but also fostered a collaborative and resource-rich learning atmosphere, which was pivotal in enhancing the perceived ease of use and usefulness of Lean.
Perceived Usefulness (PU)	At CMU, the use of Lean in the Logic and Mechanized Reasoning courses has significantly enhanced students' understanding of formal methods and logical reasoning. The integration of Lean has allowed students to engage deeply with

complex mathematical proofs, providing them with a clear, structured approach to learning. This methodological clarity is recognized as highly beneficial for mastering rigorous academic content, which in turn, improves their professional preparedness and attractiveness to potential employers in fields that value analytical and computational skills.

Perceived  
Ease of  
Use  
(PEOU)

Initially, students faced challenges with the technical complexity and the steep learning curve of Lean. However, over time, the structured nature of Lean's environment, combined with instant feedback on proof attempts, significantly reduced these barriers. This ongoing interaction and the gradual acclimatization to Lean's functionalities have improved its perceived ease of use. The university's provision of comprehensive support resources, including detailed documentation and community forums, further facilitated this process, making the tool more accessible and easier to integrate into daily academic activities.

Attitude  
Toward  
Using  
(A)

The overall attitude toward using Lean at CMU has been increasingly positive, influenced significantly by the interactive and engaging nature of the tool. The ability to receive immediate feedback and visually track the logical progression of proofs has made learning more dynamic and appealing. These positive experiences have fostered a favorable attitude towards Lean, encouraging both students and faculty to advocate for and continue using the tool in educational settings.

Behavioral  
Intention  
to Use  
(BI)

The behavioral intention to use Lean among CMU students and faculty is high. This intention is driven by the recognition of Lean's direct applicability to academic success and career advancement. The skills developed through using Lean—such as logical reasoning, problem-solving, and functional programming—are highly valued in various professional fields, thus motivating students to master the tool and incorporate it into their future professional toolkit.

Actual  
System  
Use

The actual use of Lean at CMU has expanded across various levels of the mathematics curriculum, from introductory courses to advanced graduate research. This widespread adoption is indicative of the tool's integration into the academic culture at CMU, where students and faculty utilize Lean not just for course requirements but also in research projects and independent learning endeavors. The increasing reliance on Lean for formalizing proofs and exploring mathematical concepts underscores its effectiveness and the successful adoption driven by the positive perceptions of its usefulness and ease of use.

---

249 These findings illustrate a comprehensive adoption and integration of Lean within CMU's  
250 educational framework, supported by the robust applicability of the TAM in understanding and  
251 predicting technology acceptance in higher education settings.

#### 252 *Impact and Implications*

253 Firstly, the impact on teaching practices: The integration of Lean into CMU's philosophy and  
254 mathematics departments have significantly transformed teaching practices. By incorporating  
255 computer-verified proof techniques into the traditional curriculum, this shift has brought about a  
256 higher level of rigor and precision in teaching mathematical concepts. Instructors are now able to



257 demonstrate these concepts in real-time through Lean's interactive environment, enhancing the  
 258 learning experience.

259 **Table 2**

260 *IMPACT ON TEACHING PRACTICES IN THE CMU CASE*

Aspects	Interpretation
Enhanced Engagement and Interaction	The use of Lean promotes a more interactive classroom setting where students are not passive recipients of information but active participants in constructing and verifying mathematical proofs. This approach has been shown to increase student engagement and interest in complex mathematical theories by making abstract concepts more tangible and understandable.
Innovation in Pedagogy	Lean's capabilities have encouraged faculty to explore innovative pedagogical strategies, such as flipped classrooms and peer-led team learning. In these settings, students prepare by attempting proofs using Lean outside of class, then spend class time discussing difficulties or exploring more complex applications of their pre-class work. This method has not only diversified teaching approaches but also fostered a deeper understanding of content through collaborative learning.
Faculty Development	The necessity to integrate Lean into the curriculum has spurred faculty to become proficient in the tool, leading to professional development and a broader skill set among educators. This proficiency has enabled faculty members to design more dynamic and relevant course content, enhancing their teaching effectiveness and adapting to the evolving educational needs of students.

261 Secondly, the impact on student outcomes: The systematic use of Lean at CMU has had several  
 262 positive outcomes on student learning and professional development, reflecting the effectiveness  
 263 of digital tools in enhancing educational experiences.

264 **Table 3**

265 *IMPACT ON LEARNING PRACTICES IN THE CMU CASE*

Aspects	Interpretation
Improved Problem-Solving Skills	Engagement with Lean has helped students develop strong problem-solving skills, critical thinking, and logical reasoning abilities. The precision required in formalizing proofs in Lean ensures that students understand the underlying principles of mathematical arguments deeply, which is crucial for their academic and professional future.
Preparation for Professional	The skills acquired through the use of Lean are highly applicable in various technology-driven fields. Students have reported feeling more confident in their abilities to tackle complex problems and present clear, logical arguments, skills

Careers that are highly valued in industries such as data science, software engineering, and quantitative finance.

Increased Research Opportunities For graduate students, proficiency in Lean has opened up new research opportunities, particularly in fields requiring rigorous proof techniques and formal verification. These students are better equipped to contribute to cutting-edge research, which often involves complex computations and formalisms that can be efficiently handled using Lean.

---

266 The successful integration of Lean into CMU's philosophy and mathematics curriculum suggests  
267 a strong potential for similar digital tools to transform educational practices in other STEM fields.  
268 It also highlights the importance of supportive infrastructure, such as training programs for faculty  
269 and resource networks for students, which are critical for the adoption and effective use of  
270 technology in education.

271 The findings from CMU's case study illustrate the substantial benefits of incorporating advanced  
272 digital tools into higher education, both for enhancing teaching practices and improving student  
273 outcomes.

#### 274 **ICL Case Study**

275 The research primarily draws upon public articles and Q&A sessions from the Xena Project's blog  
276 (Xena 2023; 2024).

#### 277 *Context and Implementation*

278 At Imperial College London (ICL), teaching activities are centered around the Xena Project, which  
279 employs digital tools, specifically the Lean theorem prover, to facilitate interactive and automated  
280 theorem proving. This project is part of ICL's broader strategy to enhance digital literacy among  
281 mathematics students and to integrate computational methods into the traditional curriculum. The  
282 Xena Project is designed to make higher mathematics more accessible and engaging, particularly  
283 by using technology to bridge the gap between abstract theoretical concepts and their practical  
284 applications.

285 ● Integration into the Curriculum: ICL has integrated the Xena Project into a variety of courses,  
286 ranging from first-year introductory courses to advanced graduate seminars. The project's  
287 implementation reflects a commitment to fostering a deep understanding of mathematics  
288 through active participation and engagement, rather than through passive observation.

289 ● Research and Development: Beyond classroom teaching, the Xena Project also contributes  
290 to research in mathematics and computer science by providing a platform for developing and  
291 testing new algorithms for automated theorem proving. This dual focus on education and  
292 research enriches the academic environment and offers students and faculty the opportunity  
293 to explore the cutting edge of computational mathematics.

294 As for implementation, the specific uses of the Xena Project at ICL illustrate a robust integration  
295 of digital tools in education:

296 ● Undergraduate Education: In foundational courses, Lean is used to introduce students to  
297 basic concepts in proof strategies and techniques. Students use Lean to construct their own

298 proofs, which helps them visualize and understand the logical structure of mathematical  
299 arguments.

300 ● **Advanced Courses and Workshops:** For more advanced students, the Xena Project offers  
301 specialized workshops where participants tackle complex mathematical problems using Lean.  
302 These workshops not only enhance students' problem-solving skills but also prepare them  
303 for using computational tools in professional research.

304 ● **Graduate Research:** At the graduate level, the Xena Project is used as a research tool to  
305 formalize new mathematical theories and to verify existing ones. This rigorous application of  
306 Lean in a research context highlights its utility as a professional tool in academic mathematics.

307 Here are some examples of tool applications:

308 ● **Interactive Learning Modules:** The Xena Project has developed interactive learning modules  
309 that allow students to explore mathematical concepts through guided discovery. These  
310 modules are integrated into the curriculum and are accessible online, allowing students to  
311 learn at their own pace outside of traditional lecture settings.

312 ● **Community Contributions:** Students and faculty contribute to the Xena community by  
313 developing new libraries and modules for Lean, which are shared globally. This collaborative  
314 aspect of the Xena Project not only enhances the learning experience but also builds a sense  
315 of community among users.

316 The scope of Lean's use at ICL spans educational activities, from teaching fundamental concepts  
317 to conducting advanced research. This comprehensive approach not only improves the learning  
318 outcomes for students but also fosters a culture of innovation and collaboration in the  
319 mathematical sciences. Through these implementations, ICL has established a dynamic and  
320 supportive environment for exploring the potential of digital tools in mathematics education.

321 *TAM analysis*

322 **Table 4**

323 *TAM ANALYSIS OF THE ICL CASE*

Model Constructs	Analysis
External Variables	The robust community support and the availability of comprehensive resources like the Mathlib library have played a significant role in facilitating the adoption of Lean at ICL. These external variables have not only provided the necessary technical support but also created an enriching environment conducive to advanced learning and exploration of formal methods.
Perceived Usefulness (PU)	At ICL, the Xena Project has significantly enhanced students' comprehension of complex mathematical theories and proofs through the Lean theorem prover. Students recognize Lean's ability to make abstract mathematical concepts more accessible and manageable, which has greatly improved their learning outcomes. The direct application of Lean in simplifying complex proofs has

underscored its utility in both educational and research settings, making it an invaluable resource for the students and faculty alike.

Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU)	Although initial encounters with Lean presented challenges due to its complexity, continuous usage and the structured support provided by ICL have led to a greater ease of use over time. The development of a supportive learning environment, enhanced by detailed documentation and responsive community support, has been crucial in reducing the barriers to Lean's adoption.
Attitude Toward Using (A)	The integration of Lean into the curriculum and the positive outcomes associated with its use have fostered a favorable attitude among students and faculty towards Lean. This positive reception is reflected in the enthusiasm and willingness to engage with Lean for both routine coursework and complex mathematical explorations.
Behavioral Intention to Use (BI)	The perceived effectiveness of Lean in enhancing learning outcomes, coupled with the supportive learning environment at ICL, has strongly influenced the behavioral intention to use Lean. Students and faculty are not only keen on continuing to use Lean within their current academic pursuits but are also likely to recommend its use to peers, thereby reinforcing its adoption across the academic community.
Actual System Use	Lean is extensively used across various levels of the mathematics curriculum at ICL, from introductory courses to advanced research projects. The actual usage extends beyond the classroom, with many students and faculty incorporating Lean into their research, thus indicating a deep and sustained integration of Lean into the academic practices at ICL.

324 These findings highlight the successful application of the TAM framework in understanding the  
 325 acceptance and integration of digital tools in mathematics education at ICL. The positive results  
 326 across all TAM constructs suggest that Lean is not only a beneficial tool for educational purposes  
 327 but also a catalyst for advancing mathematical research and practice.

328 *Impact and Implications*

329 Firstly, the impact on teaching practices: The incorporation of the Xena Project and the Lean  
 330 theorem prover at ICL has markedly transformed the landscape of mathematics education,  
 331 infusing traditional pedagogical approaches with innovative digital tools. This transformation has  
 332 brought about several significant changes in how mathematics is taught and engaged with at the  
 333 institution.

334 **Table 5**

335 *IMPACT ON TEACHING PRACTICES IN THE ICL CASE*

Aspects	Interpretation
Interactive and Collaborative	Lean's introduction into the curriculum has fostered a more interactive classroom environment, where students actively engage with mathematical concepts through digital means. This interactivity has facilitated collaborative

Learning	learning, enabling students to work together on complex problems and share insights in real-time, which enhances understanding and retention of mathematical concepts.
Shift in Instructional Approaches	Faculty members have adapted their teaching strategies to leverage the capabilities of Lean, moving towards a more exploratory and student-centered approach. This shift has allowed instructors to cover more material with greater depth, as students are able to experiment and learn through direct interaction with the software, which handles the more tedious aspects of mathematical proofs.
Enhancement of Curriculum with Digital Literacy	The integration of Lean has also helped embed digital literacy into the curriculum, a crucial skill in today's technology-driven world. Students are not only learning mathematics but also how to use sophisticated computational tools that are valuable in academic and professional settings.

336 Secondly, the impact on student outcomes: The use of Lean in mathematics education at ICL has  
 337 had profound effects on student outcomes, reflecting the tool's impact beyond mere technological  
 338 integration.

339 **Table 6**

340 *IMPACT ON LEARNING PRACTICES IN THE ICL CASE*

Aspects	Interpretation
Improved Problem-Solving Skills	Students have demonstrated enhanced analytical and problem-solving skills, facilitated by the structured problem-solving environment that Lean provides. The necessity to formalize proofs and solve problems using Lean has encouraged a deeper understanding of mathematical logic and rigor.
Preparation for the Digital Environment	As students become proficient with Lean, they are better prepared for careers in sectors where mathematics and computational tools are intertwined, such as finance, data analysis, and software development. The hands-on experience with Lean equips students with a strong foundation in both theoretical and applied mathematics.
Increased Engagement and Motivation	The novel approach to learning introduced by Lean has increased student engagement and motivation. The ability to see immediate results from their input and corrections from the software provides instant feedback that is highly motivating and informative.

341 The successful implementation of Lean at ICL serves as a powerful model for other educational  
 342 institutions looking to enhance their curriculum through digital tools. It highlights the importance  
 343 of integrating technology in education not just for its own sake but as a means to improve  
 344 educational outcomes and prepare students for a rapidly evolving professional landscape.

345 The findings from the ICL case study underline the critical role of digital tools like Lean in  
 346 transforming educational practices, enhancing student learning experiences, and preparing them  
 347 for future challenges in the digital society. This integration represents a forward-thinking approach

348 to education that other institutions may look to replicate, paving the way for a broader revolution  
 349 in teaching and learning in the sciences and beyond.

350 **Comparative Analysis**

351 A critical distinction is that the main practitioners of the CMU courses come from the philosophy  
 352 department, focusing on logic and foundation of mathematics; whereas the principal practitioners  
 353 at ICL are from the department of mathematics, concentrating on real-life mathematics and SOTA  
 354 mathematical research.

355 **Direct Comparison**

356 The adoption and integration of the Lean theorem prover at CMU and ICL provide valuable  
 357 insights into the effectiveness of digital tools in enhancing mathematics education. Using the TAM  
 358 as a framework, a comparative analysis reveals both similarities and differences in how digital  
 359 tools are perceived and utilized within these two academic settings.

360 **Table 7**

361 *COMPARISON OF TAM CONSTRUCTS OF THE CMU AND ICL CASES*

Model Construct	Comparison
External Variables	<p>The supportive community and the availability of extensive resources played a crucial role in the successful integration of Lean at CMU. These external factors significantly influenced the positive perception of the tool's usefulness and ease of use.</p> <p>At ICL, the strong community support, particularly through the Xena Project, provided a rich resource environment that facilitated the adoption and effective use of Lean.</p>
Perceived Usefulness (PU)	<p>At CMU, Lean is viewed as highly useful for facilitating the learning and application of formal proof techniques. It is particularly appreciated for its real-world applicability in various mathematical and computational fields, enhancing students' professional preparedness.</p> <p>ICL reports high perceived usefulness of Lean through the Xena Project, especially for making abstract mathematical concepts more accessible and engaging. The tool's ability to visualize complex proofs significantly enhances student understanding and interest in mathematics.</p>
Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU)	<p>Initially, students at CMU found Lean challenging to use due to its steep learning curve. However, ongoing support and integration into the curriculum gradually improved its perceived ease of use.</p> <p>ICL faced similar challenges with the initial adoption of Lean. However, extensive support mechanisms and the development of tailored learning modules helped mitigate these challenges, leading to a positive shift in perception over time.</p>

Attitude Toward Using (A)	The attitude towards using Lean at CMU is largely positive, influenced by its practical benefits and the support provided by the institution. ICL also exhibits a positive attitude toward Lean, driven by the enhanced learning experiences and the interactive nature of the tool.
Behavioral Intention to Use (BI)	The intention to continue using Lean at CMU is strong, driven by its perceived benefits in enhancing educational and professional outcomes. Similarly, at ICL, the intention to use Lean is reinforced by its positive impact on learning and research, suggesting a sustained future use.
Actual System Use	Lean is actively used across various levels of the mathematics curriculum at CMU, from introductory courses to advanced research. At ICL, Lean is similarly integrated throughout the educational spectrum, supporting both teaching and research activities.

362 Both CMU and ICL demonstrate strong alignments with TAM constructs, showing that despite  
363 some initial challenges with ease of use, both institutions have successfully integrated Lean into  
364 their curricula, resulting in highly positive attitudes and behavioral intentions towards its continued  
365 use. The similarities in perceived usefulness and the positive impact on student outcomes  
366 highlight the universal appeal of digital tools in enhancing mathematics education. However, the  
367 differences in initial ease of use and the strategies employed to overcome these challenges reflect  
368 the distinct educational cultures and support structures at each institution.

369 This comparative analysis not only underscores the adaptability of digital tools across different  
370 educational settings but also highlights the importance of institutional support and resource  
371 availability in shaping the successful integration and acceptance of technology in academia.

372 **Factors Influencing Differences**

373 While the overall effectiveness of the Lean theorem prover in enhancing mathematics education  
374 at both CMU and ICL is evident, there are distinct factors influencing the observed differences in  
375 how the tool is perceived and utilized at each institution. These factors include institutional policies,  
376 student demographics, and specific implementations of the technology.

377 **Table 8**

378 *FACTORS INFLUENCING DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE CMU AND ICL CASES*

Factor	Comparison
Institutional Policies	CMU's policy of integrating computational tools into the curriculum across all levels of education creates an environment where digital tools are seen as integral to the educational process. This policy encourages early and consistent exposure to tools like Lean, which may contribute to its smoother integration and higher acceptance rates among students.  ICL's approach, primarily through the Xena Project, is more focused on higher-level applications and research. The institutional policy at ICL promotes the use of digital tools primarily for complex problem solving and

research, which may limit broader student engagement at earlier stages of education compared to CMU.

Student Demographics The student body at CMU, with a strong representation from computer science and engineering fields, may be more accustomed and receptive to using computational tools. This familiarity likely contributes to a quicker adaptation and more positive perception of Lean's ease of use.

Conversely, ICL's diverse student demographic, with a broader range of academic backgrounds, might contribute to the initial challenges in adopting Lean. Students who are less familiar with computational methods may require more time and support to adjust to using Lean effectively.

Specific Implementations of Technology CMU's implementation of Lean includes comprehensive training sessions and integration into both core and elective courses. This broad-based approach ensures that students gain familiarity with Lean across different contexts, enhancing their comfort level and proficiency with the tool.

ICL's implementation strategy, focused through the Xena Project, targets specific courses and research projects. This focused approach, while highly effective for students involved, might not provide the same level of exposure and familiarity as the broader implementation strategy seen at CMU.

Support Structures CMU has a robust support structure that includes faculty expertise, online resources, and peer tutoring programs. This comprehensive support system is crucial in facilitating the adoption and effective use of Lean.

While ICL also provides significant support through the Xena Project, the nature of this support is more specialized, primarily aimed at students and researchers directly involved in the project. This specialized support might not reach as wide an audience as the more generalized support system at CMU.

Cultural Factors Cultural attitudes towards education and technology can also influence the adoption and use of digital tools. CMU's culture of innovation and technology-driven research may foster a more conducive environment for embracing new tools like Lean.

In contrast, ICL's traditional strength in theoretical mathematics may necessitate a different approach to integrating computational tools, potentially explaining slower initial uptake but equally strong eventual support.

---

379 These factors illustrate the complexities behind the adoption and effectiveness of digital tools in  
380 educational settings. Understanding these influences can help tailor the implementation  
381 strategies to better suit the specific needs and conditions of different institutions, ultimately leading  
382 to more effective and sustainable integration of technology in education.



383 ***Reflections on TAM Model***

384 The results from the case studies at CMU and ICL provide a robust test of the Technology  
385 Acceptance Model in a specific educational setting, particularly regarding the use of digital tools  
386 in mathematics education. Analyzing the findings from both institutions offers insights into how  
387 the TAM framework might be supported, challenged, or extended.

388 *Support for TAM Constructs*

389 Both CMU and ICL discovered that the Lean theorem prover was extremely useful for their  
390 educational and research needs. This aligns with the TAM's proposition that perceived usefulness  
391 plays a crucial role in the acceptance and utilization of technology. The practical applications of  
392 Lean in teaching and research settings further highlighted its value, reinforcing the notion that  
393 usefulness is a key driver of technology adoption. In both institutions, the success of Lean in  
394 fulfilling these practical needs reflects TAM's emphasis on the significant influence of perceived  
395 usefulness.

396 However, both institutions initially encountered challenges in using Lean, particularly in terms of  
397 its perceived ease of use. This difficulty is consistent with TAM's view that perceived ease of use  
398 is another vital factor influencing technology acceptance. Over time, with adequate support and  
399 training, these challenges were mitigated, and perceptions of ease of use improved significantly.  
400 This evolution suggests that perceived ease of use is a dynamic construct, subject to change with  
401 appropriate interventions, a finding that supports TAM's assertion that ease of use can be  
402 enhanced through proper guidance and experience.

403 *Challenges to TAM Constructs*

404 In analyzing the implementation of the Lean theorem prover, it became evident that external  
405 variables, such as institutional support, community resources, and student demographics, played  
406 a more significant role than typically highlighted in the traditional TAM. These external factors had  
407 a noticeable impact on how technology was accepted and used, suggesting that TAM may need  
408 to be expanded to give greater emphasis to these variables. A more comprehensive version of  
409 TAM could better account for the influence of external conditions, recognizing them as key drivers  
410 that shape technology adoption in educational settings.

411 Additionally, while TAM traditionally asserts a direct connection between behavioral intentions to  
412 use technology and actual system use, the case studies reveal that this relationship is more  
413 complex. The direct link proposed by TAM was often mediated by factors such as the availability  
414 of ongoing institutional support and the specific educational or research contexts in which the  
415 technology was implemented. This suggests that the intention to use technology does not always  
416 lead to immediate or consistent use, especially when dependent on the unique conditions  
417 surrounding the users. These findings point to the need for a more nuanced understanding of the  
418 behavioral intention and actual system use relationship within the TAM framework.

419 *Potential Modifications and Extensions to TAM*

420 The findings from both case studies suggest that the TAM could benefit from modifications that  
421 account for a wider range of contextual factors. Institutional policies, specific educational goals,  
422 and cultural attitudes toward technology were found to play crucial roles in shaping how users  
423 perceived and adopted technology. These factors, while typically considered external variables,  
424 could be more explicitly integrated into TAM as essential components that influence PU and

425 PEOU. By incorporating such contextual elements, TAM would offer a more holistic understanding  
426 of the conditions that impact technology acceptance in different environments.

427 Additionally, the studies reveal that technology acceptance is not static but evolves over time. As  
428 users become more familiar with the technology, their perceptions and behaviors tend to shift.  
429 This suggests that TAM could be extended to include a longitudinal perspective, allowing it to  
430 better capture these dynamic changes. A more time-sensitive approach would acknowledge that  
431 initial perceptions of technology often differ from those formed after sustained use, thereby  
432 providing a richer understanding of how technology adoption develops.

433 Another key finding is the role of social influence in shaping technology acceptance. Although  
434 TAM does account for subjective norms, the case studies point to the need for a broader  
435 consideration of social factors, including community engagement and peer interactions. In both  
436 settings, social influence emerged as a significant factor that shaped user behavior, suggesting  
437 that TAM could be enhanced by more explicitly recognizing the impact of community practices  
438 and social networks. This expansion would help to explain how social dynamics influence both  
439 the acceptance and sustained use of technology.

440 Thus, the application of TAM to the case studies at CMU and ICL not only supports many of the  
441 model's core constructs, but also highlights areas for its potential modification and extension. By  
442 integrating a more explicit focus on external variables, accommodating the dynamic nature of  
443 technology acceptance, and emphasizing the role of social and cultural influences, TAM can be  
444 made even more robust and applicable to a wider range of technological implementations in  
445 education.

#### 446 **Analysis Based on Actor-Network Theory**

447 Actor-Network Theory provides a useful lens for examining the complex interplay of human actors  
448 and technological artifacts within the network of mathematics education and technology  
449 development. By applying ANT, we can expand the scope of the TAM analysis conducted in the  
450 previous sections to include a broader, more interconnected view of how digital tools like the Lean  
451 theorem prover are embedded within the academic and professional realms of mathematics.

#### 452 ***Network of Actors and Artifacts***

453 In understanding the network surrounding the adoption and use of Lean, it is essential to  
454 recognize the various actors and artifacts that contribute to its success. The interactions between  
455 these key players form a dynamic ecosystem where the development, application, and refinement  
456 of the tool are continuously shaped by feedback and evolving needs. This network includes a  
457 diverse range of contributors, from developers to end-users, each playing a unique role in  
458 influencing how Lean is utilized in both educational and research contexts.

- 459 ● **Technology Developers:** These are the creators of Lean, who develop and refine the software  
460 to meet the needs of their users. They are crucial actors who initiate the network by providing  
461 the digital tool that serves as a central artifact in the network.
- 462 ● **Mathematicians:** As users of Lean, mathematicians apply the tool in their research and  
463 problem-solving, which helps in validating and expanding the tool's capabilities. Their

464 feedback and innovative uses of the software further influence its development and  
465 adaptation.

466 ● Educators in Mathematics: Often overlapping with mathematicians, these actors use Lean to  
467 teach and demonstrate complex mathematical concepts to students. Their role is pivotal in  
468 translating the capacities of the tool into educational outcomes.

469 ● Students: The end-users of Lean in an educational setting, students interact with the tool  
470 directly. Their experiences and successes with Lean can inspire a new generation of  
471 technology developers and mathematicians, closing the loop in the network.

472 ● Educational Institutions: These entities shape the policies and curricula that determine how  
473 and when tools like Lean are introduced to students and educators.

#### 474 ***Interconnection with TAM Analysis***

475 Incorporating insights from ANT into the TAM helps highlight how technology adoption is shaped  
476 by interactions within a network of actors. ANT emphasizes that factors like usefulness, ease of  
477 use, and external variables evolve through these ongoing relationships, making technology  
478 adoption a dynamic process.

479 ● Perceived Usefulness and Ease of Use: From an ANT perspective, the usefulness and ease  
480 of use of Lean are not static qualities inherent to the tool but are outcomes of the ongoing  
481 interactions within the network. For instance, as educators and students engage with Lean,  
482 their experiences feed back into the network, potentially altering how the tool is perceived  
483 and used by others in the network.

484 ● External Variables: ANT helps to frame external variables (such as institutional support and  
485 community resources) not merely as background factors but as active components of the  
486 network that can significantly influence the trajectory of Lean's acceptance and integration  
487 into mathematics education.

488 ● Attitudes and Behavioral Intentions: The attitudes of educators and students toward using  
489 Lean and their intentions to use it are shaped by the dynamics within the network. For  
490 example, seeing a peer successfully use Lean can positively influence one's attitude towards  
491 it and increase their intention to use it.

492 Integrating ANT into the TAM framework allows for a more dynamic and systemic understanding  
493 of technology acceptance, such as theorem provers like Lean. It highlights that acceptance is not  
494 merely a product of individual cognitive assessments of usefulness and ease (as TAM suggests)  
495 but also a result of complex interactions among various human and non-human actors within a  
496 network. This integration suggests that for a technology to be successfully adopted, interventions  
497 need to consider the entire network: enhancing not only the technical aspects of the tool but also  
498 strengthening the relationships and flows of information between all actors involved.

499 This actor-network analysis not only complements but deepens the TAM findings by providing a  
500 holistic view of the social and material contingencies that affect the adoption and use of  
501 technological tools in educational settings. It underscores the importance of nurturing a supportive  
502 and interconnected network to foster a positive environment for technology acceptance and  
503 utilization in academia.

## 504 **Key Takeaways**

505 The comparative analysis of the TAM and ANT frameworks in the adoption of the Lean theorem  
506 prover at CMU and ICL has provided several important insights into the process of technology  
507 integration in educational settings. Both frameworks emphasize the effectiveness of Lean in  
508 enhancing mathematics education, demonstrating its broad appeal across different institutional  
509 contexts. However, the specific environment in which Lean is implemented – shaped by  
510 institutional policies, the level of engagement from educators and students, and available support  
511 systems—plays a crucial role in determining the success of its integration. Context, therefore, is  
512 key to understanding the variation in outcomes when adopting educational technologies.

513 The analysis also highlights the dynamic nature of technology acceptance. Rather than being a  
514 one-time decision, acceptance is an evolving process, shaped by ongoing interactions between  
515 the different actors and artifacts within the network. As educators and students engage with Lean,  
516 their perceptions of its usefulness and ease of use can shift over time, influenced by their  
517 experiences and the support they receive. This reinforces the importance of understanding  
518 technology acceptance as a fluid process that adapts as users gain familiarity with the tool.

519 External variables, such as institutional support, community resources, and specific educational  
520 implementations, are shown to be critical to the adoption and long-term use of Lean. These factors  
521 are not mere background elements but integral components that influence both the initial  
522 acceptance of the technology and its sustained use over time. A lack of adequate support in these  
523 areas can hinder the full integration of educational technologies, even when they have proven to  
524 be effective in other contexts.

525 Finally, feedback loops between users and developers play an essential role in the ongoing  
526 development of digital tools like Lean. The feedback provided by educators and students helps  
527 shape the refinement and adaptation of the software to better meet educational needs. This  
528 highlights the necessity of continuous communication between technology developers, educators,  
529 and students to ensure that the tool remains relevant and responsive to the evolving demands of  
530 academic environments.

## 531 **Discussion**

532 The integration of formal methods and theorem provers like Lean into mathematical education  
533 marks a significant transformation in teaching and learning methodologies. This discussion delves  
534 into the complexities and advantages of employing proof assistants in educational settings,  
535 proposing strategies to enhance the learning experience and examining the wider educational  
536 implications and pedagogical approaches towards teaching mathematical reasoning. By  
537 reviewing the experiences of educators who have successfully incorporated Lean into their  
538 curricula at institutions like CMU and ICL, we gain a deeper understanding of the potential and  
539 limitations of this technology.

## 540 **Challenges of Incorporating Formal Methods**

541 The introduction of theorem proving assistants like Lean in educational contexts presents several  
542 challenges:

- 543 ● Initial Learning Curve: Students often encounter a steep initial learning curve, grappling with  
544 foundational mathematical concepts and the need to memorize extensive commands while  
545 navigating stringent syntax requirements. This can increase cognitive load and lead to  
546 frustration.
- 547 ● Error Feedback: The complexity of interpreting error feedback from theorem provers can be  
548 daunting and demotivating, as students may find it challenging to understand and act on the  
549 feedback provided by the system.
- 550 ● Technical Complexity: The requirement for a strong background in both mathematics and  
551 computer science due to the technical complexity of using theorem provers can restrict  
552 accessibility for a broader range of students.
- 553 ● Integration with Curriculum: Fitting theorem provers into existing curricula necessitates  
554 substantial adjustments in teaching methods and course structures, demanding educators to  
555 thoughtfully design their curricula to meaningfully incorporate these tools.

## 556 **Benefits of Using Formal Methods**

557 Despite the initial hurdles, the adoption of formal methods and theorem provers in educational  
558 settings offers multiple benefits:

- 559 ● Enhanced Understanding: The use of theorem provers enables students to explore a wide  
560 range of mathematical theories and problems. Formalizing proofs in Lean deepens their  
561 understanding of logical argument progression and abstract concepts.
- 562 ● Immediate Feedback: Theorem provers provide instant feedback on proof attempts,  
563 enhancing student engagement and motivation by making the learning process dynamic and  
564 interactive.
- 565 ● Skill Development: Working with Lean helps students develop essential skills in logical  
566 reasoning, problem-solving, and functional programming, which are valuable in various  
567 professional fields including software development, cryptography, and artificial intelligence.
- 568 ● Collaborative Learning: The Lean community's collaborative nature fosters professional  
569 growth, offering students and mathematicians opportunities to engage in large-scale  
570 formalization projects, enhancing technical skills and building a professional network.

## 571 **Strategies to Maximize Benefits and Minimize Drawbacks**

572 Educators like Avigad have suggested several strategies to leverage the advantages of theorem  
573 provers while mitigating their drawbacks:

- 574 ● Careful Task Selection: Selecting tasks that align with students' current understanding and  
575 progressively increase in complexity can help build confidence and develop skills  
576 incrementally.
- 577 ● Providing Support: Offering clear guidance, tips, and strategies can demystify the learning  
578 process, making the initial steep learning curve more manageable.
- 579 ● Simplifying Interaction: Developing user-friendly interfaces and tools that simplify interactions  
580 with theorem provers can make them more accessible to novices.

581 ● Targeted Automation: Automating routine tasks within the proof process can alleviate the  
582 frustration associated with trivial steps, allowing students to concentrate on the conceptual  
583 aspects of proofs.

## 584 **Broader Educational Implications and Pedagogical Approaches**

585 The successful integration of formal methods and theorem provers at institutions like CMU and  
586 ICL has broader implications for educational practices:

587 ● Interdisciplinary Applications: The rigorous analytical framework provided by theorem provers  
588 has applications beyond mathematics and computer science, benefiting fields such as  
589 engineering, physics, and economics.

590 ● Enhanced Pedagogical Techniques: The immediate feedback mechanism and interactive  
591 learning environment offered by theorem provers can be replicated with other educational  
592 tools and platforms, fostering more engaging and effective learning experiences across  
593 disciplines.

594 ● Empirical Evidence for Best Practices: The empirical approach to evaluating the effectiveness  
595 of integrating theorem provers into curricula provides valuable insights into best practices for  
596 technological integration in education. Systematic collection and analysis of data on student  
597 feedback, learning outcomes, and engagement levels can inform the development of  
598 optimized teaching methodologies.

599 All this comprehensive examination above highlights the promising potential of theorem provers  
600 in education, supporting a strategic and thoughtful integration that can profoundly impact teaching  
601 and learning in mathematics and beyond.

## 602 **Reflections on the TAM Model**

603 Last but not least, it is essential to offer some considerations regarding the Technology  
604 Acceptance Model itself. The integration of Lean into mathematical education at CMU and ICL  
605 offers a valuable lens through which to examine the Technology Acceptance Model and its  
606 effectiveness in educational technology settings. The case studies reveal that while TAM's core  
607 constructs of Perceived Usefulness (PU) and Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU) remain vital in  
608 predicting technology adoption, there is significant room for enhancing the model by addressing  
609 broader influences that affect technology acceptance.

### 610 ***Extension of TAM***

611 The comparative analysis conducted at CMU and ICL points to the necessity of broadening TAM  
612 to more effectively capture the range of external variables and the dynamics within actor networks.  
613 Educational settings are complex systems where various factors such as institutional policies,  
614 cultural norms, and the specific educational goals profoundly impact technology adoption.

615 By incorporating external variables such as institutional support, community engagement, and  
616 resource availability into TAM, the model can provide a more comprehensive understanding of  
617 the factors influencing technology acceptance. Moreover, acknowledging the role of actor  
618 networks—comprising students, educators, administrators, and technology developers—can

619 enhance the model's predictive power by illustrating how these actors interact with and influence  
620 one another in the adoption process.

### 621 ***Incorporation of Feedback Mechanisms***

622 Another significant enhancement to TAM could involve the incorporation of feedback  
623 mechanisms. Feedback loops between users and developers are essential for the continuous  
624 improvement of technologies and can dramatically influence their acceptance and effectiveness  
625 in educational settings.

626 Feedback mechanisms reflect the dynamic nature of technology use in education, where user  
627 needs and technology capabilities evolve together over time. Incorporating these feedback loops  
628 into TAM would allow the model to not only assess initial acceptance but also the sustained use  
629 and evolution of technology as users and developers adapt to each other's needs and  
630 contributions.

631 For educators and policymakers, understanding these feedback mechanisms can lead to better  
632 support structures for technology integration. For developers, it highlights the importance of user  
633 input in the development cycle, encouraging more user-centered design practices that directly  
634 address the needs and challenges identified by educators and students.

## 635 **Conclusion**

636 This article has delved into the innovative integration of formal methods and theorem provers  
637 within mathematical education, with a particular emphasis on initiatives like the Xena Project and  
638 the CMU's PAL course series, as well as other recent advances in the digitalization of  
639 mathematics. By examining the event structure, pedagogical strategies, and the utilization of  
640 theorem provers, this study has highlighted the significant potential of these technologies to  
641 enhance higher mathematical education. These tools not only facilitate a deeper understanding  
642 of complex mathematical concepts but also engage students in a more interactive and effective  
643 learning process.

644 The exploration has led to several potential research directions, practical implementations, and  
645 policy recommendations. Future research could investigate the scalability of integrating theorem  
646 provers across various educational levels and disciplines to examine their impact on learning  
647 outcomes and student engagement on a broader scale. Comparative studies between different  
648 theorem proving tools could further illuminate their pedagogical efficiencies, helping refine  
649 integration strategies and tool selection for optimal educational outcomes.

650 On a practical level, the development of a standardized framework for implementing formal  
651 methods and theorem provers in educational settings is crucial. Such a framework could include  
652 detailed guidelines for curriculum development, teacher training, and assessment methodologies  
653 tailored to leverage the unique capabilities and requirements of these technologies. This would  
654 facilitate broader adoption and ensure that educational practices are aligned with the advances  
655 in digital tools.

656 From a policy perspective, it is imperative that educational institutions and policymakers recognize  
657 the value of integrating advanced computational tools into curricula. Supportive policies, including  
658 funding for technology acquisition, comprehensive teacher training programs, and research into  
659 the pedagogical effectiveness of these tools, could play a pivotal role in mainstreaming these

660 innovative approaches. Additionally, policies that encourage collaboration among educational  
661 institutions, software developers, and the industry could cultivate an ecosystem that continuously  
662 refines and enhances the use of formal methods in education.

663 In conclusion, the integration of formal methods and theorem provers into mathematical education  
664 represents a significant advancement in the pursuit of enhanced educational outcomes. As  
665 demonstrated by this study, the potential benefits of such integration are substantial, promising  
666 not only to deepen understanding and engagement in mathematics but also to equip students  
667 with the skills necessary to navigate and contribute to an increasingly complex technological  
668 landscape. Embracing these technologies, developing pedagogical strategies that leverage their  
669 strengths, and creating an educational environment that is both challenging and enriching for  
670 students are essential steps toward the future of educational innovation.

## 671 **Acknowledgements**

672 The authors disclose that they have no actual or perceived conflicts of interest. This research is  
673 supported by the Major Project of Key Research Base of Humanities and Social Sciences of the  
674 Ministry of Education, "Logic and Computational Research on Practical Reasoning under the  
675 Perspective of Artificial Intelligence" (Approval Number: 22JJD520001). The authors have not  
676 used artificial intelligence in the ideation, design, or write-up of this research as per Crawford et  
677 al. (2023). The authors list the following CRediT contributions: [Zhenyu Sun: Data gathering,  
678 Investigation, Data curation, Original draft preparation; Ruixue Yuan: Conceptualization,  
679 Methodology, Reviewing and Editing; Xuezhi Liu: Supervision.]

## 680 **References**

- 681 Antonietti, C., Cattaneo, A., & Amenduni, F. (2022). Can teachers' digital competence influence  
682 technology acceptance in vocational education? *Computers in Human Behavior*, 132,  
683 107266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107266>
- 684 Anuratha, K. (2020). Promoting learning outcomes using digital literacy. *International Journal of*  
685 *Advance Research, Ideas and Innovations in Technology*, 6(2), 318-323.  
686 <https://www.ijariit.com/manuscript/promoting-learning-outcomes-using-digital-literacy/>
- 687 Avigad, J. (2021a). *Teaching logic and mechanized reasoning with Lean 4* [Beamer slides].  
688 Carnegie Mellon University. <https://www.andrew.cmu.edu/user/avigad/Talks/fmtea.pdf>
- 689 Avigad, J. (2021b). *Lean Together 2021: Teaching with proof assistants* [Beamer slides].  
690 Carnegie Mellon University.  
691 <https://www.andrew.cmu.edu/user/avigad/Talks/education.pdf>
- 692 Avigad, J. (2023). *Teaching undergraduate mathematicians and computer scientists how to*  
693 *formalize mathematics* [Beamer slides]. Carnegie Mellon University.  
694 <https://www.andrew.cmu.edu/user/avigad/Talks/loughborough2.pdf>
- 695 Avigad, J. (2024). *Proof assistants and mathematics education* [Beamer slides]. Carnegie  
696 Mellon University. <https://www.andrew.cmu.edu/user/avigad/Talks/cbms.pdf>



- 697 Awodey, S. (2014). Structuralism, invariance, and univalence. *Philosophia Mathematica*, 22(1),  
698 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1093/philmat/nkt030>
- 699 Bezem, M., Buchholtz, U., Cagne, P., Dundas, B. I., & Grayson, D. R. (2022). *Symmetry*. [Book  
700 in progress]. <https://unimath.github.io/SymmetryBook/book.pdf>
- 701 Buzzard, K., Commelin, J., & Massot, P. (2020). Formalising perfectoid spaces. *Proceedings of*  
702 *the 9th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs (CPP*  
703 *2020)*, 299-312. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.12320>
- 704 Buzzard, K. (2022a, July 6). *The rise of formalism in mathematics* [Plenary speech].  
705 International Congress of Mathematicians (ICM) 2022. YouTube.  
706 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SEID4XYFN7o>
- 707 Buzzard, K. (2022b). *Formalising mathematics* [Course notes]. Imperial College London.  
708 <https://www.ma.imperial.ac.uk/~buzzard/xena/formalising-mathematics-2022/>
- 709 Davis, F. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and acceptance of information  
710 technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 340-391. <https://doi.org/10.2307/249008>
- 711 Davies, A., Veličković, P., & Others. (2021). Advancing mathematics by guiding human intuition  
712 with AI. *Nature*, 600(7887), 70-74. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04086-x>
- 713 Fawzi, A., Balog, M., & Others. (2022). Discovering faster matrix multiplication algorithms with  
714 reinforcement learning. *Nature*, 610(7930), 47-53. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05172-4)  
715 [05172-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05172-4)
- 716 Gowers, W. T., Green, B., Manners, F. & Tao, T. (2023). On a conjecture of Marton. *arXiv*.  
717 <https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.05762>
- 718 Gukov, S., Halverson, J., Manolescu, C., & Ruehle, F. (2023). Searching for ribbons with  
719 machine learning. *arXiv*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.09304>
- 720 Ince-Muslu, B., & Erduran, A. (2021). A suggestion of a framework: Conceptualization of the  
721 factors that affect technology integration in mathematics education. *International*  
722 *Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, 16(1), em0617.  
723 <https://doi.org/10.29333/iejme/9292>
- 724 Latour, B. (2005). *Reassembling the social: An introduction to actor-network-theory*. Oxford  
725 University Press.
- 726 Lean. (2023). *Programming language and theorem prover - Lean* [Software]. [https://lean-](https://lean-lang.org/)  
727 [lang.org/](https://lean-lang.org/)
- 728 Li, M. (2024). Assessing Chinese primary mathematics teachers' self-efficacy for technology  
729 integration: Development and validation of a multifaceted scale. *Asian Journal for*

- 730 *Mathematics Education*, 0(0), 27527263241254496.  
731 <https://doi.org/10.1177/27527263241254496>
- 732 Noh, N., Raju, R., Eri, Z., & Ishak, S. (2021). Extending technology acceptance model (TAM) to  
733 measure the students' acceptance of using digital tools during open and distance  
734 learning (ODL). *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 1176,  
735 012037. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/1176/1/012037>
- 736 O'Dea, X., & O'Dea, M. (2023). Is artificial intelligence really the next big thing in learning and  
737 teaching in higher education? A conceptual paper. *Journal of University Teaching &*  
738 *Learning Practice*, 20(5), 05. <https://doi.org/10.53761/1.20.5.05>
- 739 PAL. (2023). *The Pure and Applied Logic Program*. Carnegie Mellon University.  
740 <https://logic.cmu.edu/>
- 741 Polymath. (2023). *Polymath Project*. <https://polymathprojects.org/>
- 742 The Univalent Foundations Program. (2013). *Homotopy type theory: Univalent foundations of*  
743 *mathematics*. <https://homotopytypetheory.org/book/>
- 744 Scholze, P. (2022). Liquid tensor experiment. *Experimental Mathematics*, 31(2), 349-354.  
745 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10586458.2021.1926016>
- 746 Sinclair, N., & Yerushalmy, M. (2016). Digital technology in mathematics teaching and learning.  
747 In *The second handbook of research on the psychology of mathematics education* (pp.  
748 235-274). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6300-561-6>
- 749 Soydaş, E. (2023). *Factors affecting teachers' technology acceptance and usage for teaching*  
750 *mathematics* [Master's thesis, Middle East Technical University].  
751 <https://open.metu.edu.tr/bitstream/handle/11511/107741/10604489.pdf>
- 752 Teeroovengadum, V., Heeraman, N., & Jugurnath, B. (2017). Examining the antecedents of ICT  
753 adoption in education using an extended technology acceptance model (TAM).  
754 *International Journal of Education and Development using Information and*  
755 *Communication Technology (IJEDICT)*, 13(3), 4-23.  
756 <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1166522.pdf>
- 757 Trinh, T. H., Wu, Y., & Others. (2024). Solving olympiad geometry without human  
758 demonstrations. *Nature*, 620(7995), 476-482. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06747-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06747-5)  
759 [5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06747-5)
- 760 Voevodsky, V. (2006). *A very short note on homotopy lambda-calculus* [Mailing list post].  
761 [https://www.math.ias.edu/~vladimir/Site3/Univalent\\_Foundations\\_files/Hlambda](https://www.math.ias.edu/~vladimir/Site3/Univalent_Foundations_files/Hlambda)  
762 [short\\_current.pdf](https://www.math.ias.edu/~vladimir/Site3/Univalent_Foundations_files/Hlambda)

- 763 Wagner, A. (2023). Finding counterexamples via reinforcement learning. In *IPAM Machine*  
764 *Assisted Proofs Workshop*.  
765 [https://users.wpi.edu/~zadam/Summer\\_school\\_Hausdorff\\_Day\\_1\\_RL](https://users.wpi.edu/~zadam/Summer_school_Hausdorff_Day_1_RL)
- 766 Wolfram, C. (2010). Teaching kids real math with computers. *TED Talks*.  
767 [https://www.ted.com/talks/conrad\\_wolfram\\_teaching\\_kids\\_real\\_math\\_with\\_computers](https://www.ted.com/talks/conrad_wolfram_teaching_kids_real_math_with_computers)
- 768 Xena. (2023). *The Xena Project*. <https://www.ma.imperial.ac.uk/~buzzard/xena/>
- 769 Xena. (2024). *Xena: Mathematicians learning Lean by doing*. Imperial College London.  
770 <https://xenaproject.wordpress.com/>
- 771 Yeo, S., Rutherford, T., & Campbell, T. (2022). Understanding elementary mathematics  
772 teachers' intention to use a digital game through the technology acceptance model.  
773 *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(8), 11515–11536.  
774 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11073-w>
- 775 Zogheib, B., Rabaa'i, A., Zogheib, S., & Elshaheli, A. (2015). University student perceptions of  
776 technology use in mathematics learning. *Journal of Information Technology Education: Research*, 14, 401-422. <https://doi.org/10.28945/2315>  
777