ASCILITE 2025

Future-Focused:

Educating in an Era of Continuous Change

Al nudging in education: A panel discussion on the effectiveness of persuasive Al in digital learning

Rhodora Abadia

University of Adelaide

Gosia Ludwichowska-Alluigi, Reid Honan, Srecko Joskimovic

University of South Australia

Martin McCarron

University of Adelaide

Higher education faces declining student engagement, resulting in poor motivation and course completion rates. Students struggle with workload management, social disconnection, and limited meaningful interactions with instructors and peers (Naylor et al, 2017). These challenges are intensified in digital learning environments where isolation is common. Artificial Intelligence (AI)-driven nudging systems offer potential solutions through timely, personalised feedback to improve motivation, increase behavioural engagement, and reduce dropout rates by restoring connection and teacher presence. This panel examines tensions surrounding persuasive AI through the EngageAI project, which uses persuasive AI technology to deliver personalised behavioural nudges to enhance student's learning experience. Four panellists will discuss: Does AI nudging's technical promise translate into meaningful outcomes? Are we empowering learners or creating algorithmic dependency? Can persuasive AI survive institutional constraints like budget limitations, privacy policies, and educational conservatism? The panel explores whether AI nudging represents adaptive learning's future or technological control over student behaviour.

Keywords: Al ethics, student autonomy, persuasive technology, online learning, educational innovation

Area of Focus and Theoretical Framework

Student engagement in digital learning presents a critical challenge, with fully online courses experiencing attrition rates six times higher than the national average of 12-16% (Universities Australia, 2022; Wang et al., 2023). As institutions increasingly adopt AI-driven solutions, persuasive technologies like nudging systems offer both opportunities and ethical challenges. This panel examines the intersection of persuasive technology and educational ethics, focusing on AI-driven nudging systems for student engagement. The discussion draws on three theoretical frameworks: Persuasive Technology Theory (Fogg, 2003), which explains how technology influences behaviour through motivation, ability, and triggers; Self-Determination Theory (Deci & Ryan, 2000), which questions whether AI nudging supports or undermines learner autonomy and intrinsic motivation; and AI ethical frameworks (Ashok et al., 2022), addressing intelligibility, accountability, fairness, autonomy, privacy, and governance. Using the EngageAI project at the University of South Australia as a case study, which develops personalised, context-aware nudging tools, this panel explores the tensions between technological innovation, ethical responsibility, and practical implementation in educational AI.

Panel Discussion Topics

This panel addresses critical questions that higher education institutions are navigating as they increasingly adopt AI technologies:

• Can systems designed to guide student behaviour enhance learner independence? The panel will explore whether AI nudging represents supportive scaffolding or digital paternalism.

ASCILITE 2025

Future-Focused:

Educating in an Era of Continuous Change

- How do AI nudging systems perform across diverse student populations? The discussion will examine
 whether these technologies inadvertently perpetuate educational inequities or create new forms of digital
 divide
- What happens when technological solutions meet institutional constraints? The panel will debate whether
 Al nudging systems can realistically be implemented given budget limitations, privacy requirements, and
 organisational resistance.

Panel Composition and Perspectives

A/Prof Rhoda Abadia, co-lead investigator of EngageAl and Associate Dean (Online), will present Al nudging's technical promise, demonstrating how personalized interventions can boost student retention and engagement in online courses while arguing these technologies represent the future of scalable, adaptive educational support. A/Prof Srecko Joksimovic, Co-Director of C3L and co-leader of the EATEL SIG on Responsible and Equitable Al, will challenge the panel on ensuring Al nudging supports meaningful human development rather than algorithmic dependency, while maintaining equitable outcomes across diverse learners. Dr Gosia Ludwichowska-Alluigi, EngageAl co-lead and Program Facilitator (Online) with expertise in consumer behaviour, will examine how diverse student populations experience nudging systems and what they need from Al-driven support. Mr Martin McCaron, Executive Director Student Experience at University of Adelaide, will address institutional realities of implementing Al nudging at scale—examining whether persuasive Al can navigate budget constraints, privacy policies, competing priorities, and institutional conservatism, focusing on the gap between innovation and organizational readiness.

Moderator: Dr Reid Honan, Online Course Facilitator STEM, University of South Australia Online

Symposium Format and Engagement Strategies

The 55-minute session begins with live polling on three key propositions to establish baseline audience positions (10 minutes), followed by three structured 10-minute discussion rounds addressing whether Al nudging creates empowered or digitally dependent learners, its impact on diverse learners, and its institutional feasibility. Each round includes 2-minute opening statements, 4-minute cross-examination, and 4-minute audience Q&A. An interactive problem-solving segment (10 minutes) has audience members work in small groups to develop ethical Al nudging implementation criteria while panellists facilitate and provide expert input. The session concludes (5 minutes) with final polling to track perspective changes as panellists identify areas of consensus and ongoing disagreement.

References

Ashok, M., Madan, R., Joha, A., & Sivarajah, U. (2022). Ethical framework for Artificial Intelligence and Digital technologies. International Journal of Information Management, 62, 102433. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102433

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. Psychological Inquiry, 11(4), 227-268.

Fogg, B. J. (2003). Persuasive technology: Using computers to change what we think and do. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA

Universities Australia. (2022). Higher Education Facts and Figures.

https://universitiesaustralia.edu.au/publication/higher-education-facts-and-figures-2022/

Wang, M.T., & Eccles, J.S. (2013). School context, achievement motivation, and academic engagement: longitudinal study of school engagement using a multidimensional perspective. Learning and Instruction, 28, 12-23.

ASCILITE 2025

Future-Focused:

Educating in an Era of Continuous Change

Abadia, R., Luwichowska-Alluigi, G., Honan, R., Joskimovic, S. & McCarron, M. (2025, Nov 30 – Dec 3). Al nudging in education: A panel discussion on the effectiveness of persuasive Al in digital learning. [Panel Presentation]. Australasian Society for Computers in Learning in Tertiary Education Conference, Adelaide, Australia. https://doi.org/10.65106/apubs.2025.2777

Note: All published papers are refereed, having undergone a double-blind peer-review process. The author(s) assign a Creative Commons by attribution licence enabling others to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon their work, even commercially, as long as credit is given to the author(s) for the original creation.

© Abadia, R., Luwichowska-Alluigi, G., Honan, R., Joskimovic, S. & McCarron, M. 2025