

## Poster presentation

# Do we know what skills our students think are being tested in exams?

Jennifer Lingard, Laura Minasian-Batmanian, Ian Cathers, Mary-Jane Mahony, Gilbert Vella  
University of Sydney

In a substantial assessment policy change, the University of Sydney is moving to grade allocation based on published standards, rather than cohort distribution. Examiners often allocate higher grades to students who exhibit higher level skills, as based on a taxonomy such as Bloom's (Krathwohl, 2002) or SOLO (Boulton-Lewis, 1998). However, many students do not understand exactly what skills are required in terms of gaining these higher grades. Questions thus arise as to whether or not students have the same perception of the grading system as those who set the assessment tasks and also if students with more accurately aligned perceptions perform at the higher levels. As students' learning behaviour is influenced by their perception of what skills are required to obtain higher marks (Trigwell & Prosser, 1991; Prosser, Trigwell, Hazel & Waterhouse, 2000), it is crucial to know what our students think is being tested.

This research aimed to find out if students performed better when their perception of the skills being tested in a particular exam question was well aligned with staff judgments. First year Physiotherapy students (160) undertook an optional online trial exam in their first semester biochemistry unit. This exam consisted of thirty multiple choice questions that were prepared and graded for skill requirement by three content and educational experts. Students indicated their perception of the skill level being tested by each question. Multiple attempts were permitted. Detailed feedback on content and skill testing was also provided online.

About 80% of students completed the assessment, and many have attempted it again. Preliminary data analysis indicates that students view the skills being tested in a much narrower band than do staff i.e. questions testing lower level skills are seen as being more difficult, and vice versa. It is intended to correlate student perceptions with several factors, including their prior level of topic knowledge and final exam performance.

Keywords: grade descriptions, student perceptions, assessment, student learning

## References

- Boulton-Lewis, G.M. (1998). Applying the SOLO taxonomy to learning in higher education. In B.C. Dart & G.M. Boulton-Lewis (Eds), *Teaching and learning in higher education* (pp. 201-221). Melbourne: The Australian Council for Educational Research.
- Krathwohl, D.R. (2002). A revision of Bloom's taxonomy: An overview. *Theory into Practice*, 41, 212-218.
- Prosser, M., Trigwell, K., Hazel, E. & Waterhouse, F. (2000). Students' perceptions of studying physics concepts: The effects of disintegrated perceptions and approaches. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 15: 61-74.
- Trigwell, K. & Prosser, M. (1991). Improving the quality of student learning: the influence of learning context and students approaches to learning on learning outcomes. *Higher Education*, 22, 251-266.

## Author contact details

**Jennifer Lingard**, C42 – Cumberland Campus, University of Sydney, Lidcombe, NSW 2141, Australia.  
Email: J.Lingard@fhs.usyd.edu.au.

**Laura Minasian-Batmanian**, C42 - Cumberland Campus, University of Sydney, Lidcombe, NSW 2141, Australia. Email: L.Batmanian@fhs.usyd.edu.au.

**Ian Cathers**, C42 - Cumberland Campus, University of Sydney, Lidcombe, NSW 2141, Australia.  
Email: I.Cathers@fhs.usyd.edu.au.

**Mary-Jane Mahony**, C42 - Cumberland Campus, University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia.  
Email: MJ.Mahony@fhs.usyd.edu.au.

**Gilbert Vella**, School of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences - C42, University of Sydney, PO Box 170, Lidcombe, NSW 1825, Australia. Email: G.Vella@fhs.usyd.edu.au.

Please cite as: Lingard, J., Minasian-Batmanian, L., Cathers, I., Mahony, M-J., Vella, G. (2006). Do we know what skills our students think are being tested in exams? In L. Markauskaite, P. Goodyear, & P. Reimann (Eds.) *Proceedings of the 23rd Annual Conference of the Australasian Society for Computers in Learning in Tertiary Education: Who's Learning? Whose Technology?* (p. 982-3). Sydney: Sydney University Press. <https://doi.org/10.65106/apubs.2006.3005>

The author(s) assign to ascilite and educational non-profit institutions a non-exclusive licence to use this document for personal use and in courses of instruction provided that the article is used in full and this copyright statement is reproduced. The author(s) also grant a non-exclusive licence to ascilite to publish this document on the ascilite web site (including any mirror or archival sites that may be developed) and in electronic and printed form within the ascilite *Conference Proceedings*. Any other usage is prohibited without the express permission of the author(s). For the appropriate way of citing this article, please see the frontmatter of the *Conference Proceedings*.